IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.GIRI

THURSDAY, THE 29TH NOVEMBER 2007 / 8TH AGRAHAYANA 1929

WP(C).No. 35273 of 2007(Y)

PETITIONER:

K.PRASANNA RAJ, PRASANNA NIKETH,
NEDUNGOLAM P.O., KOLLAM.

BY ADV. SRI.P.B.SURESH KUMAR

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD,
VAIDYUTHI BHAVAN, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE FINANCIAL ADVISER AND CHIEF ACCOUNTS
OFFICER, KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD,
VAIDHYUTHI BHAVAN, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD,
ELECTRICAL DIVISION, KASARAGOD.

4. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD,
ELECTRICAL DIVISION, CHATHANNOOR,
KOLLAM.

5. THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD,
ELECTRICAL MAJOR SECTION, PARAVUR.

BY SC SRI.K.S.ANIL

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 29/11/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

V.GIRI, J.

==========

W.P.(C)NO. 35273 OF 2007

==========

Dated this the 29th day of November 2007

JUDGMENT

The petitioner retired from service of the Board on 29.2.2004, while working as a Senior Superintendent. According to the petitioner, while working as a Senior Grade Assistant in the Electrical Major Section, Paravur, he had been sanctioned notional promotion to the cadre of Senior Superintendent. The petitioner's pay was re-fixed with effect from 2.6.1975 with monetory benefits from February 1991 onwards. Accordingly the petitioner submitted a bill for the arrears of salary for the period from February 1991 to April 1997. Apparently the said amount has not been disbursed to the petitioner so far even after his retirement. A perusal of Ext.P5 will show that the Assistant Executive Engineer, Electrical major Section, Cherthala had earlier forwarded the arrear bill amounting to Rs.1,06,765/= consequent upon the retrospective notional promotion granted to the petitioner. It seems that the delay in the disbursal of the same was on account of the delay in tracing the arrear bill.

2. I have heard the learned standing counsel for the Board also.

3. In the facts and circumstances of the case, the writ petition is

disposed of directing the second respondent to take note of Ext.P5 and take appropriate steps to see that the arrear bill of the petitioner is traced out and the amount due to the petitioner as computed shall be disbursed as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

V.GIRI, JUDGE

css/